

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,380	09/08/2003		Shinichi Anami	M894.312-0010	9396		
164	7590	12/06/2005		EXAMINER			
KINNEY &		E, P.A. NGE BUILDING		RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A			
312 SOUTH				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	N 55415-1002		2879			
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/657,380	ANAMI ET AL.	ANAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	s	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pr  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  (HS from the mailing date of this commun	·	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the condition is accordance.	This action is non-final.  owance except for formal matte		rits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers	ndrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2005. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	$\sqrt{5}$ is/are: a) $\sqrt{2}$ accepted or b) $\sqrt{2}$ the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	e	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date		
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Notice of Inf.	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Amendment filed 9/22/05 has been entered and considered by the Examiner. Currently, claims 1-16 are pending in the instant application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keijser et al (US 5153482).

In regard to claim 1, Keijser et al ('482) teaches an arc discharge metal halide lamp (figure 2; abstract) for use in selected lighting fixtures (figure 1), said lamp comprising: a discharge chamber having visible light permeable walls of a selected shape bounding a discharge region (see figure 1; column 3 line 16 to column 4 line 2) through which walls a pair of electrodes (2, 3) are supported in said discharge region spaced apart from one another by a distance  $L_e$  (Keijser = D) with said walls about said discharge region having an average diameter along  $L_e$  equal to D (Keijser =  $d_i$ ) so as to satisfy  $4 < L_e/D \le 5$  (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number 3); and ionizable materials provided in said discharge region of said discharge chamber comprising a noble gas, a sodium halide and mercury (column 3 lines 26-28) in an

Art Unit: 2879

amount sufficiently small to result in a voltage drop between said electrodes during lamp operation that is less than 110 V rms at a selected value of electrical power dissipation in said lamp (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number).

In regard to claim 2, Keijser et al ('482) teach the voltage drop between said electrodes during lamp operation exceeds 50 V rms (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number).

In regard to claim 3, Keijser et al ('482) teach the discharge chamber is made of a ceramic material (column 3 lines 15-18).

In regard to claims 4 and 5, Keijser et al ('482) teach the selected value of electrical power dissipation divided by that surface area of said discharge chamber adjacent to said discharge region as a chamber wall loading is between 20 and 70 W/cm<sup>2</sup> (abstract).

In regard to claim 7, Keijser et al ('482) teach the voltage drop between said electrodes during lamp operation is between 50 and 100 V rms (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number).

In regard to claim 8, Keijser et al ('482) teach the ceramic material is polycrystalline alumina (column 2 lines 21-46).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keijser et al (US 5153482) in view of Keijser et al (US 6300729).

In regard to claims 6 and 9, Keijser et al ('482) disclose all the limitations set forth, as described above, except the ionizable materials further comprise a cerium halide. Keijser et al ('729) teach an arc discharge metal halide lamp using a combination of a noble gas, a cerium halide, and a mercury gas (column 3 lines 5-12) in order to increase the light output (column 2 lines 39-49). Hence, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the arc lamp of Keijser et al ('482) with the ionizable materials of Keijser et al ('729). Motivation to combine would be to increase the light output.

In regard to claim 10, Keijser et al ('482) teach the voltage drop between said electrodes during lamp operation exceeds 50 V rms (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number).

In regard to claim 11, Keijser et al ('482) teach the discharge chamber is made of a ceramic material (column 3 lines 15-18).

In regard to claims 12 and 13, Keijser et al ('482) teach the selected value of electrical power dissipation divided by that surface area of said discharge chamber adjacent to said discharge region as a chamber wall loading is between 20 and 70 W/cm<sup>2</sup> (abstract).

In regard to claim 14, Keijser et al ('482) teach ionizable materials further comprise a sodium halide (column 3 lines 24-28).

In regard to claim 15, Keijser et al ('482) teach the voltage drop between said electrodes during lamp operation is between 50 and 100 V rms (column 3 lines 41-50, lamp number).

In regard to claim 16, Keijser et al ('482) teach the ceramic material is polycrystalline alumina (column 2 lines 21-46).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Rielley whose telephone number is 571-272-2117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Rielley

Examiner Art Unit 2879